Authority	Establishments	No of Establishments not yet rated for intervention	Total % of Broadly compliant premises	Total % of Broadly compliant premises - A	Total % of Broadly compliant premises - B	Total % of Broadly compliant premises - C	Total % of Broadly compliant premises - D	Total % of Broadly compliant premises - E	Total % of Interventions achieved	Total % of Interventions achieved - Premises Rated A	Total % of Interventions achieved - Premises Rated B	Total % of Interventions achieved - Premises Rated C	Total % of Interventions achieved - Premises Rated D	Total % of Interventions achieved - Premises Rated E	Total number of samples taken	enforcement ent actions taken ent - act	ormal of forma	formal me enforcem actions to Seizure	formal ent enforcemen aken actions take	formal at enforcemen	Total No of formal n enforceme nt actions taken - Prohibtion Orders	f Total No of formal enforcement actions taken Improvement Notices	Total No of formal enforcement actions taken t Remedial Action & Detention Notices
DISTRICT COUNCILS Adur	446	20	82.3	% 0.0	0% 29.4	% 81.9%	6 100.09	6 100.09	6 99.7	6 100.0%	100.09	6 99.4%	6 100.09	6 100.0%	6 101		0	0	0	0 (0 (0 1	12 0
Allerdale	1332	77	92.0	% 0.0	0% 46.7	% 88.2%	97.19	6 99.89	6 90.4	6 100.0%	97.69	6 96.2%	6 93.99	6 70.4%	59	0	0	1	0	0 (0 0	3	6 0
Alnwick* Amber Valley	N/A 986 1119				V/A N 0% 58.6							6 92.8%	6 83.99		N/A		N/A 1	N/A 2	N/A N/	/A N/A	A N/A	A N/A	A N/A 3 0
Arun Ashfield			75.3	% 0.0	0% 31.9 7% 49.0			6 99.79	6 89.6	% 100.0%	97.89	6 96.7% 6 98.9%			37	2	0	0	0	0 (0 () 15	19 0
Ashford	905	8	87.1 ⁴ 91.6 ⁴	% 16.7 % 50.0	0% 46.5	% 86.3%	95.79	6 100.09 6 99.89	6 98.8 6 99.1	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 99.3%	6 96.99	6 98.1%	6 85 6 155		0	0	1	0 0	0 0	3	6 0
Aylesbury Vale Babergh	1207 888	63	87.8° 88.0°	% 0.0 % 11.1	0% 32.9 1% 38.6	% 86.0% % 81.3%	6 97.69 6 92.69	6 99.49 6 99.89	6 84.4°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 99.3%	6 98.59 6 97.29	6 27.6% 6 93.8%	6 27 6 94	0 2	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	9 0
Barrow-in-Furness Basildon	610 1152	59	95.5° 9 86.1°	% 100.0	0% 46.7	% 92.3%	6 98.39	6 100.09	6 83.3	% 80.0%	96.39	6 82.1% 6 97.9%	6 55.69	6 100.0%	158	0	0	0	0	0 (0 (3 0
Basingstoke & Deane	1138	15	97.2	% 0.0	0% 81.3	% 95.2%	6 98.69	6 100.09	6 96.6	6 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0%	6 100.09	6 87.2%	24	0	0	4	0	0 1	1 (J 1	19 0
Bassetlaw Bedford	821 1347	48	91.3	% 22.2 % 0.0	2% 54.9 0% 0.0	% 92.0% % 0.0%	6 98.69 6 0.09	6 100.09 6 0.09	6 99.8° 6 90.4°	6 100.0% 6 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 92.2%	6 100.09 6 89.99	6 98.9% 6 79.9%	6 89	0	2	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	7 0
Berwick Upon Tweed**	N/A 675	N/A	N/ 89.81		VA N 0% 62.5			A N// 6 100.09		A N/A % 100.0%	N/A 98.69				N/A 161	N/A	N/A I	N/A	N/A N	/A N/A	A N/A	A N/	VA N/A
Blyth Valley**	N/A	N/A	N/	/A N	V/A N	/A N/A	N/A	A N/A	A N/	A N/A	N/A	A N/A	A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A 1	N/A	N/A N/	/A N/A	A N/A	A N/A	VA N/A
Bolsover Boston	679 595	10	92.9 ¹		0% 32.2 3% 82.6			6 99.59 6 100.09	6 91.7 ⁴ 6 99.8 ⁴	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 99.6%			146		0	0	2	0 0	0 0	á á	1 0
Braintree	1205	35	93.2	% 0.0	0% 51.7	% 90.5%	6 99.69	6 100.09	6 98.5	6 100.0%	99.29	6 98.7%	6 99.49	6 95.9%	102	0	0	2	0	0 (0 0		1 0
Breckland Brentwood	1392 682	16	89.0	% 0.0	0% 42.9	% 87.6%	94.09	6 99.29	6 99.4	% 100.0%	100.09	6 99.4%	6 100.09	6 98.5%	147	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	J 10	2 0
Bridgnorth Broadland	665 1131	31 118	86.1 ^s 87.1 ^s	% 20.0 % 18.8	0% 31.9	% 83.3% % 84.2%	96.19	6 99.59 6 99.79	6 87.6°	6 90.0% 6 93.7%	98.09	6 91.6% 6 95.6%	6 90.39 6 89.39	6 57.1% 6 61.3%	25 268	0 0	2	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0
Bromsgrove	626 667	49		% 28.6	6% 78.2	% 92.4% % 80.4%	6 100.09 6 89.89	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 85.1°	% 92.3% % 100.0%	88.59	6 82.2%	6 89.89	6 95.7% 6 98.6%	6 (0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Broxbourne Broxtowe	839 926	7 50	85.4	% 0.0	0% 62.7	% 80.4% % 82.9%	6 98.29	6 100.09	6 99.2° 6 99.9° 6 80.5°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0%	6 99.09	6 98.6% 6 100.0% 6 55.6%	6 39 6 109		0	0	0	0 0	0 0	3	4 0 34 0
Burnley Cambridge City			91.3	% 33.3		% 86.6% % 83.6%	98.69		6 80.5	% 84.2% % 100.0%	98.69	6 86.4% 6 93.4%			5 23		0	2	0	0 0	0 0	4	1 0
Cannock Chase	1422 763		89.9	% 20.0	0% 52.4	% 87.0%	94.89	6 100.09		% 95.7%	92.99	6 92.1%	6 43.59		6 143		2	1	1	0 0	0 0	ا اد	9 0
Canterbury City Caradon	1568 1189	123	91.8	% 4.0	0% 52.7° 0% 50.0°	% 89.7% % 94.1%	6 99.29 6 95.89	6 100.09 6 99.59	6 97.6°	% 99.1%	98.79	6 95.7%	6 97.59 6 97.39	6 88.2% 6 95.1%	141	3	0	6	0	0 1	0 0	0 2	2 0
Carlisle City	1151	132	92.9	% 11.1	1% 53.3	% 92.0%	6 97.49	6 100.09	6 98.1	6 95.2%	97.59	6 99.7%	6 90.99	6 99.0%	120	0	0	1	0	0 (0 () 1	11 0
Carrick Castle Morpeth	1281 479	193 71	79.0° 93.4°	% 14.3 % N	V/A 21.1	% 74.3% % 88.7%	6 100.09	6 98.69 6 100.09	6 88.6 ¹ 6 68.2 ¹	% N/A	98.59	6 93.9% 6 65.8%	6 73.69	6 81.0% 6 66.9%	6 125 6 1	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	S .	5 0
Castle Point Charnwood	478 1310	21	71.6° 80.6°	% 0.0	0% 38.7	% 69.5%	87.59	6 98.89	6 98.5°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	96.39	6 99.2%	6 97.19	6 100.0% 6 100.0%	6 69		0	0	0	0 (0 0	0 !	0 0
Chelmsford Cheltenham	1075	0	84.7	% 0.0	0% 33.7	% 82.3%	6 92.69	6 99.59	6 99.2	100.0%	98.99		6 100.09	6 100.0%	158		0	1	1	0 (0 0	ا ا	2 0
Cherwell	998 751		71.9	% 0.0			100.09	6 100.09			100.09	6 96.4%	6 96.49	6 86.2%	75	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	ا ا	9 0
Chester City Chesterfield			90.0		0% 63.0 6% 72.3 0% 38.1	% 90.4% % 89.8%		6 100.09	6 100.0°			6 100.0%			328	0	0	2	0	0 (0 (0 1	15 0
Chester-le-Street	977 337	1	90.9 82.4	% 0.0	0% 27.0	% 84.4%	97.89	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 99.7	6 100.0%	98.99	6 100.0%	6 100.09	100.0%	163	0	0	Ó	0	0 (o c		1 0
Chichester Chiltern	1318 790	43	80.8	% 8.3 % 33.3	3% 45.3	% 78.6% % 80.8%	95.19	6 98.99 6 100.09	6 98.6 6 92.8	6 100.0%	100.09	6 99.3% 6 93.4%	6 74.29	6 96.4% 6 100.0%	5 234 6 99	0	0	1	0	0 0	0 0	3	3 0
Chorley Christchurch	859 364	23	95.1° 79.1°	% 50.0 % 0.0	0% 86.5° 0% 33.3°	% 92.7% % 68.0%	6 98.19 6 99.09	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 97.5°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	89.59	6 97.5% 6 94.2%	6 100.09	6 99.0% 6 100.0%	5 35 6 62	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0
Colchester	1364	62	91.0	% 50.0	0% 47.2	% 91.2%	98.09	6 99,49	6 98.3	6 100.0%	99.19	6 98.3%	6 97.89	6 97.7%	383		0	0	0	0 0	0 0	3	9 0
Congleton Copeland	1364 758 766	12	87.7	% 0.0 % 12.5	0% 65.5° 5% 50.8° 0% 53.3°	% 86.9% % 86.0%	97.89	6 100.09 6 100.09 6 100.09	6 99.6 6 75.1	6 92.9%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 72.0%	6 100.09 6 55.09	6 96.5% 6 69.7%	30	ol ol	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 2	21 1
Corby Cotswold	333 1139	28	94.4	% 0.0	0% 53.3° 1% 41.0°	% 94.9% % 83.5%	6 95.79 6 96.99	6 100.09 6 98.59	6 95.7	% 100.0% % 100.0%	82.19	6 95.4%	6 100.09		148		0	0	0	0 0	1 1	1	2 0
Craven	856	23	87.4° 8 94.7°	% 0.0 % 11.1	0% 65.1	% 93.1%	99.19	6 100.09	6 96.0	% 100.0% % 100.0%	97.09 97.09	6 96.7%	6 96.09	6 93.4%	162		0	ò	0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Crawley Borough Council Crewe and Nantwich	767 924	70	80.8	% 11.1 % 7.1	1% 30.8 1% 36.1			6 97.69 6 100.09	6 100.0°		100.09	6 100.0% 6 100.0%	6 100.09 6 100.09		6 65		0	2	13	0 0	0 0	0 2	12 0 26 0
Dacorum Dartford Borough Council	1430	79	94.3	% 0.0	0% 49.2	% 92.8%	100.09	6 100.09	6 78.5	6 100.0%	100.09	6 86.2%	60.29	64.0%	71	0	0	0	0	0 (0 (6 0
Daventry District Council	667	21	93.5	% 0.0	0% 50.0	% 90.1%	98.19	6 100.09	6 47.7	6 100.0%	88.99	6 57.7%	61.09	6 17.0%	. (0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	3 2	21 0
Derbyshire Dales Derwentside	1449 636	44	79.7	% 18.2 % 0.0	2% 60.0 0% 48.3		97.39	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 45.7°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 74.6%	6 86.19 6 50.09	6 2.0% 6 2.7%	53	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		2 0
Dover Durham City	1156 746	35 45	89.1	% 0.0 % 0.0	0% 41.3	% 87.7%	6 96.49	6 99.59 6 98.79	6 96.7	6 100.0%	100.09	6 98.2% 6 99.6%	6 98.69	64.1%	6 41	0	0	2	0	0 0	0 0	1	6 0
Easington	844	33	78.8	% 0.0	0% 39.5	% 82.0%	97.99	6 100.09	6 87.3	100.0%	97.49	6 95.1%	6 42.39	6 100.0%	6 41	0	1	0	0	0 0	0 0		3 0
East Cambridgeshire East Devon	636 1598	198	94.3	% 0.0	0% 70.5	% 91.9%	100.09	6 100.09		% 92.9%	95.79		6 90.69	6 94.1%	5 34 5 253		0	0	1	0 0	0 0	<u>, 1</u>	0 0
East Dorset	760 862		91.4											6 14.2% 6 55.2%	6 140 6 98		0	0	0	0 0	0 (0 0
East Hampshire East Hertfordshire	862 701	44	83.9	% 0.0	0% 50.0	% 85.1%	100.09	6 N/A	92.0	6 100.0%	100.09	4 88 99	6 81.79	5 100.0%	14	7	ő	0	0	0 0	0 0		4 0
East Lindsey East Northamptonshire	1833 675	8	91.5 93.6	% 8.3 % 0.0	3% 64.8 0% 67.4	% 90.6% % 93.9%	6 98.29 6 95.99	6 99.39 6 100.09	6 78.0°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	96.69	6 74.6% 6 89.4%	6 86.99	6 64.7% 6 93.5%	359 50	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	<u>1</u> 1:	4 0
East Staffordshire Eastbourne	995 929	0	91.8	% 33.3 % 0.0	3% 50.0° 0% 57.4°	% 86.4% % 89.2%	98.49	6 99.59 6 97.59	6 92.6 6 97.8	% 100.0% % 100.0%	93.09	6 90.0% 6 97.3%	6 94.89 6 94.29	6 95.9% 6 99.6%	54 6 93	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 (1	3 0
Eastleigh	880 1047	0	93.5	% 0.0	0% 54.3	% 90.3%	100.09	6 99.79	6 93.8	% 100.0%	89.89	6 94.4%	6 94.69	6 N/A	46	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Ellesmere Port and Neston	598	25	97.4	% 50.0 % 50.0	0% 80.0° 0% 65.6°	% 95.4% % 89.5%	6 98.29 6 95.29	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 98.5°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	98.79	6 98.8% 6 100.0%	6 96.69 6 66.19	6 98.8% 6 23.7%	5 182 5 111	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	3	0 0
Elmbridge Epping Forest	975 967	31 138	86.9 84.3		0% 37.5° 1% 25.7°	% 77.9%	98.49	6 99.79	6 96.8	% 88.9% % 100.0%	100.09	6 99.6% 6 97.1%	6 100.09 6 95.79	6 87.4%	100	0	0	0	0	0 (0 (0 0
Epsom & Ewell	489	27	89.8	% 0.0	0% 43.3	% 95.6%	6 99.29	6 100.09	6 100.0	% 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0%	6 100.09	6 100.0%	6 11		Ö	Ó	0	0 0	0 0		3 0
Erewash Exeter City	827 977	63	88.0	% 0.0	0% 49.3	% 82.8% % 88.7%	6 98.99 6 97.69	6 99.69	6 100.0	% 100.0%	100.09	6 99.6% 6 100.0%	6 100.09	6 100.0%	6 98 6 140	1	0	3	0	0 0	0 0	3	2 0
Fareham Fenland	648 877	11	91.1	% 0.0	0% 72.1	% 88.5%	98.99	6 100.09	6 98.1	6 100.0%	99.59	6 98.3%	6 98.19	6 94.8%	73		0	3	0	0 0	0 0	: اد	3 0
Forest Heath	524	25	81.8	% 25.0	0% 33.9	% 82.7%	96.19	6 100.09	6 99.2	6 100.0%	100.09	6 99.2%	6 95.69	6 100.0%	91		0	1	0	0 0	0 0	1 1	10 0
Forest of Dean Fylde	762 948	149	85.3 ¹ 84.6 ¹	% 6.3 % 23.5	3% 54.4° 5% 52.8°	% 84.6% % 84.8%	6 100.09 6 98.79	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 78.5°	% 95.8% % 100.0%	89.99 98.79	6 79.9% 6 78.5%	6 82.59 6 70.89	6 27.3% 6 60.5%	6 89 6 261	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	4	9 0
Gedling	695 790	26	89.1° 85.5°	% 0.0	0% 53.2	% 91.2% % 83.3%	6 99.39	6 100.09	6 95.5	% 96.3%	97.49	6 95.1%	6 94.49	6 95.3%	88	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Gloucester City Gosport	673	51	89.7	% N	VA 71.4	% 81.3%	6 95.99	6 100.09	6 93.4	% 100.0%	95.09	6 93.4%	6 84.39	6 99.0%	239	1	1	1	0	0 0	0 0	3	5 0
Gravesham Great Yarmouth	840 1364	106	91.4	% N	VA 5.0	% 87.4% % 93.4%	6 96.59	6 100.09	6 96.0	% na	100.09	6 100.0%	6 98.89	6 82.1%	6 49	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	1 1	i3 0
Guildford	1151	30	87.2	% 0.0	0% 47.6	% 79.5%	96.49	6 99.89	6 77.7	% 86.7%	84.79	6 83.5%	6 41.29	58.8%	5 79	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		4 0
Hambleton Harborough	985 791	62	90.6	% 0.0	0% 47.3	% 86.3%	6 95.79	6 100.09	6 92.5	6 100.0%	99.09	6 98.2%	6 94.59	6 71.8%	128	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	1	13 0
Harlow Harrogate	638 1739		89.2° 85.3°			% 82.1%	94 79		6 96.3 6 99.5 6 98.5	% 92.9% % 100.0%		6 98.7% 6 99.1%		6 100.0%	136		0	1 2	0	0 (0 0	4	3 0
Hart							34.77	35.07	00.5	6 100.0%	100.09	100.17		6 91.8%	40			-			-, -	+	- 9
	745	115	92.2	% 0.0	0% 46.7	% 90.4%	6 100.09	6 100.09	6 98.5	/6 100.07	100.07	6 100.0%	6 100.07	91.8%	. (0	6	3	0	0 5	5 4	4 1	10 0
Hastings Havant	745 745 952 842	115	6 92.2 ¹ 2 82.3 ¹ 1 89.1 ¹ 0 68.3 ¹	% 0.0 % 0.0 % 33.3	0% 36.2 3% 47.7	% 90.4% % 77.3% % 89.4% % 67.1%	6 97.69 6 97.59	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 99.8°	% 100.0% % 100.0%	100.09	6 100.0% 6 100.0%	6 100.09 6 100.09	6 98.9% 6 100.0%	45 5 199	0	0	2	0	0 5	5 4 0 0	4 1	10 0 28 0 3 0

		No of Establishments not yet rated for	Total % of Broadly compliant	Total % of Interventions achieved	Total % of Interventions achieved -	Total % of Interventions achieved - A Premises Rated B	Total % of Interventions achieved -	Total % of Interventions achieved -	Total % of Interventions achieved -	Total number of samples	Total No of formal of form enforcement	o Total No al of formal em enforcem	Total No of formal e enforcement	Total No of formal enforcement	Total No of formal enforcemen	Total No of formal enforceme	Total No of formal enforcement	Total No of formal enforcement					
	, ,	intervention	premises	premises - A	premises - B	premises - C	premises - D	premises - E		Premises Rated A		Premises Rated C		Premises Rated E	taken	actions taken ent - actions	nt actions taken -	actions taken - Seizure,	actions taker	t actions taken -	nt actions taken -	actions taken - Improvement	- actions taken Remedial
																Prosecutions taken - Simple Cautio	Voluntary closures ns	dentention and surrender of food	Suspension/i evocation of approval	Emergency Prohibition Notices	Prohibtion Orders	Notices	Action & Detention Notices
High Peak Hinckley & Bosworth	997 891	165			% 45.9% % 34.5%	6 80.99 6 76.59	6 99.1% 6 92.1%	100.0%	90.7	% 92.99 % 100.09	98.3%	99.3%	6 100.09 6 94.89	6 62.5% 6 90.0%		2 0	0	4 0	0 0	0 0	0		ā 0
Horsham	954	117	7 91.59	% 0.09	% 44.7%	6 87.99	6 97.0%	100.0%	91.5	% 100.09	97.3%	98.8%	6 71.49	6 82.8%	6 2	6 0	0	1 0) (0	0 0	-	0
Huntingdonshire Hvndburn	1398 775	110	82.99	% 16.7°	% 46.1%	6 84.49	6 100.0%	99.8%	97.2	% 100.09	99.4%	97.2%	6 94.49	6 90.09	6 10		0	1 1		0 0	0 0		2 0
Ipswich Kennet	1218	82	2 90.19 5 93.89				6 98.5%	100.0%		% 100.09 % 100.09	97.2%	80.9% 94.0%				7 0	0	1 1		0	0	14	4 0
Kerrier	827 1124	13	3 91.49	% 28.69	% 63.2%	6 90.69	6 99.1%	100.0%	91.1	% 100.09	91.1%	93.5%	6 90.29	6 84.39	6		0	0 0		0	0 0	2	2 0
Kettering King's Lynn & West Norfolk	782 1768	243	3 76.79	6 0.09	% 31.1%	6 91.69 6 74.99	6 93.6%	100.0% 99.0%	36.3	% 82.59	98.5% 59.7%	51.7%	6 16.09	6 8.7%	6 19		0	1 1) (0 0	0 0	27	7 0
Lancaster City Lewes	1339 969	22	2 91.29 1 91.79	% 20.0° % 12.5°	% 41.0% % 42.0%	6 91.19 6 87.79	6 99.4% 6 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	97.5	% 98.69 % 100.09	100.0%	97.5%	6 92.39 6 98.19	6 100.09 6 84.99	6 19	0 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0	4	4 0
Lichfield Lincoln City	823 896	(93.99	% 16.79	% 55.4%	6 94.39	6 100.0%	100.0%	99.8	% 96.79		100.0%	6 100.09	6 100.09		1 1	1	0 2	2	0	0 0	17	1 0
Macclesfield	1258 1401					6 88.79	6 97.8% 6 92.0%			% 100.09	100.0%		6 100.09	6 100.09	6 18 6 4	8 0	0	0 0		0	0 0	49	3 0
Maidstone Maldon	563	8	8 93.59	6 0.09	% 46.7%	6 90.89	6 98.2%	100.0%	97.0	% 60.09	100.0%	96.9%	6 97.19	6 98.69	6 7.	2 0	0	0 0) (0	0 0	7	7 0
Malvern Hills Mansfield	912 718	51	0 88.09 1 90.09	% 0.0°	% 83.3% % 20.0%	6 83.19 6 87.99	6 96.2%	97.2% 100.0%	100.0	% 100.09 % 100.09	98.1%	100.0%	6 100.09 6 70.79	6 100.09 6 95.99	6 22 6 14	5 2 9 0	0	0 1		0 0	3		<u>0</u> د
Melton Mendip	483 1166	78	8 95.19 6 94.69	6 0.09	% 70.4%	6 95.69	6 100.0% 6 98.9%	99.0% 99.6%	91.6	% 100.09	93.3%	92.3%	6 93.29	6 83.79	6 3	7 0	0	1 0) (0	0 0		1 0
Mid Bedfordshire	1157	142	2 89.69	% 0.09	% 71.2%	6 84.39	6 96.7%	99.7%	95.1	% 100.09	97.1%	100.0%	6 100.09	6 77.1%	6 7		0	0 0		0 0	0 0	(J 0
Mid Devon Mid Suffolk	903 959	121	1 81.59	% 15.4°	% 33.0%	6 76.99	6 97.3%	100.0% 99.3%	90.4	% 100.09	99.1%	94.4%	6 59.89	6 100.09	6 30	9 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0		ó 0
Mid Sussex Mole Valley	1145 722	20	0 84.89	6 100.09	% 43.2%	6 80.49	6 87.2%	90.5% 97.7%	100.0	% 100.09	100.0%	100.0%	6 100.09	6 100.09	6 14	5 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	12	1 0
New Forest Newark & Sherwood	1535	18	8 83.49	6 18.29	% 39.7%	6 81.99	6 94.1%	100.0%	94.7	% 100.09	99.0%	97.6%	6 94.59	6 43.99	6 12	2 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0	10	0 0
Newcastle Under Lyme	1120 1152	94	4 84.59	% 20.09 % 20.09	% 53.3% % 45.5%	6 89.19 6 76.29	6 99.0% 6 93.2%	99.7% 100.0%	83.0 75.3	% 100.09 % 100.09	99.2%	97.1%	6 95.19 6 68.69	6 34.69 6 48.19	6 6	4 0	0	1 (5	0	0	9	0
North Cornwall North Devon	1403 1625	143	3 90.19	6 0.09	% 42.9%	6 80.79	6 92.9%	100.0% 96.9%	78.1	% 81.39	100.0%	80.7%	6 79.29	6 54.69		9 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0	32	1 0
North Dorset North East Derbyshire***	677 N/A		7 94.89 A N/	% 0.05 A N/		6 90.39 A N/	6 100.0% A N/A	100.0% N/A	98.1 N	% 100.09	100.0%	96.3% N/A	6 100.09 A N/	6 100.09 N/A	6 15 A N//	3 0 A N/A	0 VA N/	0 C A N/A	N/A	0 N/A	0 N/A	N/A) 0 A N/A
North Hertfordshire North Kesteven	896	21	1 85.19	% 0.09		6 83.39 6 88.79		100.0% 99.7%	99.3	% 100.09					6	0 0	0	0 0) (0	0 0	7	0
North Norfolk	1679	283		% 33.3°	% 78.1%	6 93.49		100.0%	98.3	% 100.09	100.0%	99.4%	6 100.09		6 24	5 0	1	0 0		0	0 0	14	4 0
North Shropshire North Warwickshire	540 733	15	5 88.29 3 90.89	% 50.0°	% 52.4% % 27.8%	6 84.49 6 92.29	6 96.6% 6 98.9%	99.4% 100.0%	96.0	% 100.09 % 100.09	98.9%	97.9%	6 98.49 6 100.09	6 82.69 6 98.99	6 8	0 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0	3	3 O
North West Leicestershire North Wiltshire	831 918	52	2 80.69	6 0.09	% 38.9%	6 78.59	6 93.0%	97.8% 100.0%	78.0	% 95.79	94.3%	74.8%	6 51.39	6 93.09	6 29	1 1	0	2 0) (0	0 0	11	1 1
Northampton	1508 1468	92	2 86.79	6 0.09	% 49.0%	6 86.09	6 97.1% 6 98.6%	100.0%	89.6	% 100.09	97.4%	86.1%	6 91.29 6 85.79	6 85.89	6 8	6 2	1	5 (1	0	45	5 0
Norwich City Council Nuneaton & Bedworth	784	7	7 80.39	6 0.09	% 28.4%	6 77.89	6 94.1%	99.1%	94.7	% 98.69	99.4%	96.7%	6 95.69	68.29	% 6	0 0	0	1 0) (0 1	0	10	9 0
Oadby & Wigston Oswestry	411	76	6 94.09	6 0.09	% 73.1%	6 94.89	6 100.0%	100.0%	96.5	% 100.09	100.0%	100.0%	6 93.89	68.29	6 3	6 0	0	0 0		0 1	0	(0 0
Oxford City Pendle	395 1159 744	40	0 89.09	6 0.09	% 38.1%	6 88.39	6 98.7%	99.6%	90.8	% 100.09	89.5%	90.5%	6 95.09	6 88.59	6 4	6 1	0	0 0		1	0	38	3 0
Penwith	1122	53	3 94.89	% 0.0° % 71.4°	% 74.2%	6 93.09	6 96.9%	100.0% 99.7%	97.0	% 94.49	99.1%	96.4%	6 97.79	6 100.09 6 97.29	6 5	3 0	0	0 0		0	0 0		3 0
Preston Purbeck	715 551	36	6 96.79	% 35.7°	% 48.1% A 98.8%	6 86.49 6 96.79	6 92.8% 6 94.1%	100.0% 92.6%	58.8 86.2	% 100.09 % N//	57.9% 100.0%	61.4%	6 28.09 6 46.49	6 95.59 6 58.39	6 3	6 0	0	0 0) (0 0	0 0	17	2 0
Redditch Reigate & Banstead	531 1027	1 48	0 94.49 8 91.59	% 50.0°	% 51.2% % 46.5%	6 83.99	6 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0	% 100.09 % 100.09	85.7% 100.0%	84.3%	6 100.09	6 100.09	6 7: 6 13:	2 0	1	0 0	2 .	1 0	1 1	17	7 0
Restormel Ribble Valley	1740 715	83	3 87.79 8 95.59	% 22.2° % 7.7° % 0.0°	% 58.5% % 58.3%	6 85.39 6 95.39	6 96.8%	100.0% 99.6%	81.0	% 100.09	95.6%	79.2%	6 77.69 6 100.09	62.8%	6 3 6 25	9 0	0	0 0) (0	0 0	15	j 0
Richmondshire	715	24	4 95.59	% N/	A 68.3%	6 94.69	6 98.9%	100.0%	95.8	% N/A	95.3%	96.1%	6 94.09	6 96.39	6 18	1 0	0	0 1		0	0 0	3	3 0
Rochford Rossendale	612 663	26	0 74.09 6 95.49					98.8% 99.6%		% 100.09	100.0%				% 7:	5 0	0	1 0) (0 0	0 0	1	1 0
Rother Rugby	1235 876	238	8 53.89 8 91.99	% 15.8°	% 23.4% % 56.5%	6 52.99 6 91.59	6 69.7% 6 100.0%	100.0%	93.2	% 97.79 % 100.09	95.7%	94.5%	6 81.59 6 100.09	6 100.09	6 14	9 0	0	0 0		0 1	0 1		3 O
Runnymede Rushcliffe	761 682		7 76.59 3 87.59	% 0.0°				99.2% 99.5%	97.0 96.5		95.7% 99.2%	98.1%	6 97.49				3	4 0) (0	0 0		j 0
Rushmoor	641 781	3	3 89.89	% 0.0°	% 65.4%	6 85.49	6 98.9%	100.0%	99.9	% 100.09	98.6%	100.0%	6 100.09	6 100.09	6	7 0	1	9 25	5 (0 0	0 0	3	3 0
Ryedale Salisbury	1327	151	1 95.09	% 0.09	% 59.3%	6 92.79	6 99.7%	100.0% 100.0%	90.8	% 100.09	100.0%	99.1%	69.49	69.8%	6 7.	3 0	1	2 0) (0 1	0	1 8	8 0
Scarborough Sedgefield	1642	42	2 82.69 4 91.99	% 0.05 % N/	% 51.6%	6 79.99 6 85.99	6 95.0%	100.0%	94.2	% 100.09	97.3%	93.6%	6 92.69	6 93.9%	6 23 6 25		0	0 0) (0 0	0 0	42	0 0
Sedgemoor	775 1397 739		98.69	% 0.09	% 75.0%		6 100.0%	99.6% 100.0% 99.6%	97.5	% 100.09	100.0%	98.3%	6 98.29	6 95.8% 6 100.09	6 3	2 0	0	0 1		1	0	14	1 0
Selby Sevenoaks	738 770	25	5 83.69	6 0.09	% 45.6%	6 81.79	6 95.4%	100.0%	99.4	% 100.09	100.0%	99.1%	6 98.69	6 100.09	6 10	6 0	0	1 0		0	0	2	2 0
Shepway Shrewsbury & Atcham	1476 1164	105	3 90.69	% 8.0° % 0.0°	% 43.4% % 54.8%	6 88.19 6 90.09	6 97.5% 6 92.8%	100.0% 99.6%	98.9 85.7	% 80.09 % 100.09	98.3% 98.9%	99.4%	6 100.09 6 88.59	6 98.1% 6 50.0%	6 8	6 1	0	2 0		0 0	0 0	1	0
South Bedfordshire South Buckinghamshire	1081 525	77	76.39	6 5.99	% 32.8%	6 82.19	6 94.4%	88.8% 100.0%	99.0	% 100.09	99.1%	94.8%	6 100.09	6 84.0% 6 95.7%	6 9	8 0	0	3 1		0 0	0 0		j 0 0 0
South Cambridgeshire South Derbyshire	612 716	2 4	4 89.89 3 87.19	% 25.0°	% 50.0% % 47.1%	6 86.79	6 97.8% 6 98.1%	96.1% 100.0%	95.7 79.3	% 100.09	100.0%	99.7%	6 96.79	6 88.59 6 98.99	6 2	0 0	0	0 0) (0	0 0	(0 0
South Hams	1314	33	3 82.09	% 50.09	% 32.9%	6 77.89	6 96.5%	99.6%	73.2	% 100.09	98.7%	98.2%	6 96.29	6 3.6%			0	0 0		0	0	18	3 0
South Holland South Kesteven	820 1301	175	5 94.39	% 0.09	% 56.9%	6 94.09	6 98.6%	99.7%	97.8	% 100.09	100.0%	97.2%	6 100.09	6 95.99	6 27	9 0	0	0 15		0 0	0 0		8 0
South Lakeland South Norfolk	1807 1138	144	4 95.69 9 94.29	% 0.0°	% 46.2% % 55.0%	6 94.29 6 91.89	6 100.0% 6 98.4%	99.7% 100.0%	83.8	% 100.09 % 100.09	99.2%	92.9%	6 70.99 6 100.09	6 48.19 6 100.09	6 18	9 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0) O
South Northamptonshire South Oxfordshire	757 1263		6 92.09	% 20.09	% 61.8%	6 91.59	6 98.4%	100.0% 100.0%	98.4	% 100.09	100.0%	98.4%	6 100.09	6 78.69			0	0 0) (0 0	0		j 0
South Ribble	869	60	0 86.79	% 0.09	% 41.4%	6 88.09	6 100.0%	100.0%	78.0	% 100.09	98.4%	64.8%	48.69	6 89.1%	6 9	4 0	0	3 2		0	0		1 0
South Shropshire South Somerset	693 1553	30	95.79	6 100.09	% 81.0%	6 92.99	6 99.6%	100.0% 100.0%	99.9	% 100.09	100.0%	100.0%	6 99.59	6 100.09	6 42	8 0	0	0 1		3	3		9 0
South Staffordshire Spelthorne	887 657	189	9 87.59 5 82.29	6 10.79 6 33.39	% 62.5% % 33.3%	6 88.59 6 77.19	6 96.9% 6 100.0%	100.0%	89.9	% 100.09 % 100.09	96.6%	95.9%	6 87.19 6 90.09	6 64.6% 6 69.4%	6 9	3 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		3 n
St Albans City	892	47	7 76.89	6 7.19	% 33.1%	6 79.09	6 98.1%	100.0%	95.6	% 100.09	99.5%	100.0%	6 44.29	6 100.09	% 5	9 0	0	0 0		0 0	0 0	12	2 0
St Edmundsbury Stafford	869 1229	40	93.99	60.09	% 62.2%	6 93.19	6 97.6%	100.0%	99.4	% 88.99	99.4%	99.2%	6 100.09	6 100.09	% 9	4 0	0	1 (5	0	0	2	2 0
Staffordshire Moorlands Stevenage	871 424	19	9 90.49 7 87.79	6 0.09	% 30.0%	6 84.59	6 97.4%	100.0% 100.0%	96.2	% 100.09	97.3%	82.1% 99.2%	6 80.69	6 100.09			0	0 0		0 1	0		6 0
Stratford-on-Avon Stroud***	1532 N/A	89	9 94.39	% 33.39	% 23.4%	6 92.49	6 97.8%	99.8% N/A	88.1	% 87.59	88.5%	91.3%	6 92.79	6 77.79	6	0 1 A N/A	0 VA N/	1 C) (0	0	1	0 0
Suffolk Coastal	1305	31	1 88.59	% 23.19	% 50.0%	6 86.29	6 95.6%	100.0%	84.9	% 100.09	99.5%	99.5%	6 100.09	6 41.59			0	1 () (0	0		3 0
Surrey Heath Swale	605 1248	95	0 84.39 5 88.69	% 33.39	% 32.7%	6 88.89	6 98.3%	100.0% 100.0%	93.1	% 100.09	96.9%	93.8%	6 96.19	6 81.49			0	0 0) (0	0 0	13	9 0
Tamworth Tandridge	429 665		94.99	% 0.0°	% 74.5% % 55.7%	6 84.99	6 99.0%	100.0% 100.0%	99.0	% 100.09 % 100.09	86.8%	98.8%	6 50.09 6 98.29	6 16.79 6 100.09	% 2 % 8	2 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 0	11	1 0
Taunton Deane Teesdale	979 321	46		6 0.09	% 60.0%	6 85.29	6 97.5% 6 97.4%	99.7% 100.0%	99.8	% 100.09	100.0%	99.8%	6 99.39	6 100.09	6 7	8 0	1	0 0) (1	0	9	0 0
	1562	10	4 83.99	6 9.59	% 35.8%	6 83.09	6 93.7%	100.0%	96.7	% 97.99	98.3%		6 91.49	6 96.39	6 3	2 0	ő	0 0) 0	0 0	16	6 0

Authority	Total No of	No of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total % of	Total		o Total No	Total No of	Total No of				Total No of
	Establishments	Establishments	Broadly	Broadly	Broadly	Broadly	Broadly	Broadly	Interventions	Interventions	Interventions	Interventions	Interventions	Interventions	number of		al of formal	formal	formal	formal	formal	formal	formal
	(inc unrated)	not yet rated for	compliant	compliant	compliant			compliant	achieved	achieved -	samples			enforcement		enforcemen		enforcement	enforcement				
		intervention	premises	premises - A	premises - B	premises - C	premises - D	premises - E		Premises Rated A	Premises Rated B	Premises Rated C	Premises Rated D	Premises Rated E	taken	actions taken ent	nt actions		actions taker		nt actions	actions taken	- actions taken
																 actions 	taken -	 Seizure, 	-	taken -	taken -	Improvement	Remedial
																Prosecutions taken -	Voluntary	dentention		Emergency	Prohibtion	Notices	Action &
																Simple	closures	and	evocation of	Prohibition	Orders		Detention
																Caution	IS	surrender of	approval	Notices			Notices
																		food					
Tendring	108	2 17:	3 70.7	% 14.7%	40.8%	71.3%	99.0%	100.0%	96.0	% 92.79	6 94.8%	95.79	6 98.29	6 100.09	7	7 0	1	0	1 () (0	3	33 (
Test Valley	85-	4 3:	89.9	% 0.0%	66.7%	85.3%	100.0%	100.0%	96.2	% 100.09	6 100.0%	96.89	93.99	6 88.49	6 9	0	0	0	0 () (0		2 /
Tewkesbury	68	7 6	93.3	% 100.0%	61.5%	90.7%	99.4%	100.0%	80.0	% 100.09	6 97.7%	86.19	6 88.39	6 53.19		0	1	0	0 () () (2 /
Thanet	131:	5 15	81.6	% 20.0%	35.9%	83.9%	97.7%	100.0%	96.1	% 95.29	6 99.5%	99.5%	6 100.09	6 74.29	23	5 0	2	0	0 () (0		5 /
Three Rivers	51:	5 44	92.8	% 100.0%	42.3%	92.5%	98.8%	100.0%	100.0	% 100.09	6 100.0%	100.09	100.09	6 100.09	, i	0	0	0	0 () (0		2 /
Tonbridge & Malling	94	7 40	91.2	% 0.0%	32.7%	89.0%	96.5%	100.0%	97.4	% 100.09	6 100.0%	97.39	6 96.79	6 96.29	13	0	1	0	0 () (0		9 (
Torridge	78	1 5	83.5	% 12.5%	45.5%	81.7%	86.4%	98.3%	95.0	% 100.09	6 92.5%	96.39	6 84.59	6 100.09	6 4	0	0	0	0 () (0		2
Tunbridge Wells	109				25.7%	93.8%	100.0%					99.99					2	0	1 () (0		1 /
Tynedale	82		44.0			95.1%										0	0	0	0 () (0		6 (
Uttlesford	66			% 0.0%	40.7%	86.7%				% 100.09				67.99		1	0	2	2 () (0 0		8 (
Vale of White Horse	92	6 11	1 87.0			89.9%	96.4%						77.69	6 84.59	, i	0	0	0	0 () (0		0 /
Vale Royal	92					86.2%										3 1	3	3	0 () (0	1	18 (
Wansbeck	46					89.7%										0	0	0	0 () (0		0 (
Warwick	146:				29.4%	89.4%						100.09		6 100.09			8	5	0 (,	1 1	1	16 (
Watford	71					82.1%										0	0	0	3 () (0		5 (
Waveney	114					83.5%											0	2	8 () () 2		7 (
Waverley	83					79.2%											0	0	0 () () (1 (
Wealden	149				48.8%	88.1%											2	4	2 2	2 2	2 2		9 2
Wear Valley	65					75.9%											0	D	0 0) () (8 (
Wellingborough	62-		2 88.6			80.4%											2	3	0 0) (0		8 (
Welwyn Hatfield	73					93.4%											0	0	0 (, ,			3 (
West Devon West Dorset	141		93.1			93.4%											0	0	0 () (19 (
West Lancashire	81					92.3% 84.9%											0		0 (4
West Lindsev	75					91.4%						100.09					0	1	4 (4
West Oxfordshire	117				64.6%	96.7%											0	0					0
West Somerset	62				81.8%	93.8%											0	0	0 0	1			0
West Wiltshire	108					87.6%											0	0	0 (0
Weymouth & Portland*	N/A				N/A	07.076 N/A											0	0	0 (0
Winchester City	106					87.1%											0	1	2 (1) 0		4
Woking	65				42.9%	83.5%											0		0 () 0		1
Worcester City	85					89.3%											2	2	0 0	5	i 0		2
Worthing	84					75.1%											0	n	0 0		0		0
Wychayon	98					95.0%										3 2	ō	Ď	1 7	0 1	0 0		8
Wycombe*	N/A				N/A	N/A											0	1 1	1 (1 0		56 (
Wyre	133		86.8			87.3%											4	1	ol d) (0 0	`	2
Wyre Forest	103																0	0	0 () () 0		3

^{*} could only provide partial data

^{**} unable to provide data as involved in local government restructuring

^{***} unable to provide data